

Evaluation Sheet

F2F 2015: Integrated floods management (29 replies)

Thanks for a feedback that will help us to improve our events! (Please tick as appropriate)					
Thematic issues					
		Yes	Rather	Partially	no
1	Did the event correspond to your expectations?	19	6	4	0
2	Did the speakers share relevant experience?	23	15	1	0
3	Did you get relevant inputs for your work?	12	12	5	0
4	Was there a good balance between theoretical and practical work?	17	9	2	1
5	Was the reference material adequate?	9	16	0	0
Methodology					
6	Were the methods used appropriately?	15	12	2	0
7	Were the speakers competent?	22	4	2	0
8	Did the speakers manage to create a learning and trustful environment?	18	10	1	0
9	Was there enough time for questions and discussion?	19	8	2	0
10	Was there enough time to address the workshop topic?	15	12	1	0
11	Was there enough time for informal exchange & networking?	21	7	1	0
Different Sessions					
12	Day 1: Presentations on concepts & experience	16	7	1	0
13	Day 1: Case studies Nepal & Bangladesh	8	14	4	0
14	Day 1: Game session	21	2	0	0
15	Day 1, 2: Discussions (world café, fish bowl)	12	10	4	1
16	Day 1,2: Market place poster session	19	8	0	0
Organization aspects					
17	Were you informed well enough about the event?	26	2	1	0
18	Were you satisfied with the workshop organization?	28	1	0	0
19	Were you satisfied with the workshop facilities?	29	0	0	0
Overall					
20	Were you overall satisfied with the event	20	8	1	0

What did you particularly like about the event?

Networking mix

- Met people from different organisations
- Interesting mix of participants (different backgrounds and different countries)
- Possibility of networking and discussion/exchange
- Meeting DRR workers
- To share experience
- Quite enough time for networking + different types of methodology

Content

- Discussion on innovative ideas
- Technology based practice
- input by Knud / NCCCC (mentioned twice)
- Some new and useful issues

Methods

- Well organized + good combination of presentations and interactive discussion
- Good methodology + good opportunity to share experiences
- Mix at methods used
-
- Market place posters were useful + the game
- Discussion and case studies
- Mix of presentation with more interactive sessions

Logistics

- The venue, amazing location (2)
- Food and friendly ambiance
- Good ambience for active participation and reflection
- Seating arrangements + Time management (no delays)
- Input Markus Zimmermann

Suggestions for improvement:

Topic/ content

- Issue “linking beyond” wasn’t well addressed
- Introduce new tools
- Be more specific to the point of flood management, too much basic DRR
- More innovation, provide added value and new material
- Red thread was missing

General

- Should be 3 days instead of 2
- Gender balance
- Quick references to the other events (key highlights)
- Share the reference materials after the Workshop

Method, group work, poster, presentations

- All posters presentations at the first day + make group workers more focused
- Larger posters / more informative
- More case studies
- Some more case studies from the developing countries
- More enthusiasm in the facilitation + more dynamism
- Paper versions of slides not needed but maybe share electronic versions
- Some of the presentations could have fewer information
- Go through the presentations of your project colleagues before hand
- Prefer working in small discussion rounds + have less plenary sessions
- The group was very big
- More structured café to be more effective