

Evaluation sheet

LEARNING EVENT: Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation Basics for Mainstreaming 22 June 2016, 9.30 – 16.40, Berne

Thanks for a feedback that will help us to improve our trainings! (Please tick as appropriate)

Thematic issues					
		yes	rather	partially	no
1	Did the event correspond to your expectations?	10	4		
2	Did you get relevant inputs for your work?	10	4		
3	Was there a good balance between theoretical and practical work?	2	8	4	
4	Was the reference material adequate?	14			
Comments: 1) The practical case studies would have been useful to do at the end of the workshop 2) For an event directed to beginners, I would have welcomed more exercises, e.g. of tools we can apply to be able to apply the learned. I would still need to do this. 3) Mixed background of participants. 4) More practical exercises would have been good to allow participants bring in their examples of work and how to practically integrate DRR and CCA. 5) Good mix. 6) The theoretical parts became a bit too long, but this was also due to a lot of questions and participation of the group.					
Sessions					
5	Basic Terms and Concepts	10	3	1	
6	Integration of DRR/CCA into PCM	8	6		
7	Case Study	10	2	1	
8	Games and Group Work	3	4	4	
Comments: 1) The flood scenario at the beginning of the day was useful to understand risk factors and get to know the other participants. 2) We should keep the terminology sessions simpler. 3) I think it was a good decision to skip the group work. 4) Link case study to Group Work e.g.: 1. Vorstellen Case Study 2. Gruppenarbeit zu Integration von DRR in PCM anhand Case Study 3. Auflösung – Wie hat man es gemacht in dieser Case Study, lessons learnt? 5) More group work would be good 6) Regarding presentation of the terminology, it would be good to show linkages & context e.g. present risk equation. 7) There was no time left for group work – it was a shame. 8) Lektüre der Briefing Note voraussetzen, kurze 5' behindert Session, dann direkt in Diskussion einsteigen -> Mehr Zeit für Gruppenarbeit. 9) More time for group work would be nice to see if definitions really have sunken in. 10) Session were too long. 11) Good warm up Session.					

Methodology					
9	Were the methods used appropriate?	12	1	1	
10	Were the contributors competent?	14			
11	Did the moderator manage to create a learning and trustful environment?	13	1		
12	Was there enough time for questions and discussion?	10	3	1	
13	Was there enough time to treat the workshop topic?	5	6	3	
Comments: 1) The moderator presented clearly the topics. 2) I liked that the inputs were intended to be short (30 – 40 min), leaving space to discuss. However, time management was not followed as strictly. 3) Start earlier in the morning. 4) Moderator knows the topic well!					
Organisation/Logistics					
14	Did you receive sufficient information about the workshop?	12	2		
15	Was the workshop well organised?	13	1		
16	Were the facilities appropriate?	14			
Comments: 1) Besseres Zeitmanagement. 2) Very well organized.					
Overall					
17	Overall, were you satisfied with the workshop?	13	1		
Suggestions for improvement: 1) Include more case studies and lessons learnt. 2) Thanks☺. 3) As a newcomer to DRR, the workshop was interesting and the information substantial. However, group work and more interactive ways of presentation/lecturing the theory part would have been more interesting. Suggestion: less content and more group work. Thank you very much! 4) Overall very well organized. High relevance of topic. 5) Thank you very much. 6) Thank you very much for organising useful workshops. 7) Thank you very much for all the efforts put into the preparation.					